DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM TERRITORY OF GUAM

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

JUN 24 2005

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

MARY L.M. MORAN CLERK OF COURT

CASE NO. 05-00036	DATE: 06/24/2005	TIME: 10:00 A.M.	COURT
HON. JOAQUIN V. E. MANIBUSAN, JR., Magistrate			(12
Court Reporter: Wanda M. Miles	3 /	Courtroom Deputy: Virginia T. Kilç	gore
Hearing Electronically Recorded - RUN TIME: 10:00:2	6 - 10:08:56	CSO: J. Lizama / N. Edrosa	-
******* A P		******	* * * * *
DEFT: NORMAN HON SUEN CHAN	ATTY:_KIM	SAVO	
(X)PRESENT ()CUSTODY (X)BOND ()P.R.	(X)PRESENT () RETAINED (X) FPD () CJA APPO	DINTED
U.S. ATTORNEY: KARON JOHNSON U.S. PROBATION: CHRIS DUENAS	AGENT: U.S. MARSHAL:	F. TAITAGUE	
INTERPRETER:	LANGUAGE: _	 _	
PROCEEDINGS: - INITIAL APPEARA () COMPLAINT READ TO DEFENDANT () FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT REVIEWED AND ACCE (X) DEFENDANT SWORN AND EXAMINED: AGE: () DEFENDANT ARRAIGNED AND ADVISED OF	PTED: HIGH SCHOOL COMPLE	, ATTORNEY APPOINTED TED:	IMENT
() REMOVAL/IDENTITY HEARING () CONDUCTE () WARRANT OF REMOVAL ISSUED () GOV'T SUMMARIZES THE EVIDENCE () OF THE NATURE AND POSSIBLE CONSEQUE (X) DEFENDANT WAIVES READING OF () COMP (X) PLEA ENTERED: () GUILTY (X) NOT GU () COUNT(S)	GOV'T SUBMITS TO THE PLEA A IG HIS PHYSICAL AND MENTAL INCES OF SAID PLEA PLAINT () INFORMATION (X ILTY - TO: THE CHARGES IN THDISMISSED ON GOVERNMEN PLEA: () ACCEPTED () REJ	AGREEMENT CONDITION, AND ADVISES DEFE) SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT E SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT IT'S MOTION JECTED	
() PRESENTENCE REPORT ORDERED AND DUE: () PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION SET FOR: () ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR: (X) TRIAL SET FOR: SEPTEMBER 6, 2005 at 9:3 PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO: () DEFENDANT TO BE RELEASED ON BOND (see () DEFENDANT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY O	atat	ARING:atatatatatatatatatat	
() DETENTION HEARING REQUESTED BY _ GOV (X) DEFENDANT RELEASED ON BAIL AS PREVIO	USLY ORDERED BY THIS COUR		
Ms. Savo requested a continuance of the t			
stated that she will be off-island on Aug	gust 29 and will return on	September 2. Governmer	nt had no
objection. The Court informed Defendant that the earliest trial date available is September 6. Defendant			
had no objection. The Court stated that counsel needs additional time to prepare for trial and by extending			
and continuing the trial date to September 6. the ends of justice is served and outweigh the best interest			

of the pubic and the defendant in a speedy trial.